

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/821,227	SCALICE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James L Grun	1641	

All Participants:

(1) James L Grun, Ph.D., USPTO.

(2) Mr. Todd J. Burns, Appli. Rep., via voice mail.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 June 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:


N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The changes to the claims, as suggested by the examiner and as detailed in the Examiner's amendment, were communicated to applicant's representative as matters of form to place the application in condition for allowability. Applicant's representative authorized the amendment on 28 June 2004.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)